

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. <b>09/058,810</b>	Applicant(s) <b>NEGELE et al</b>
	Examiner <b>Vivian Chen</b>	Group Art Unit <b>1773</b>

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vivian Chen, Exr

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Coe, Atty

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 10-2-01

Type: a) Telephonic      b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes    e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 28-50

Identification of prior art discussed:

references of record

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Coe proposed amendments to overcome the outstanding new matter rejection and the previously stated prior art rejections. The Examiner suggested the following options: (1) delete the negative limitation in question regarding conjugated double bonds or susceptibility to the Diels-Alder reaction; (2) use Markush group language to limit claims to the preferred compounds; (3) file new claims omitting the negative limitation(s) in question and argue the merits regarding the applicability of the prior art of record. The Examiner noted that since the application is under final rejection, newly added claims may represent new issues and therefore may not be entered if they do not immediately place the case in condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
**VIVIAN CHEN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**ART UNIT 1773**